## ORDINANCE NO.

AN ORDINANCE relating to the King County Multipurpose Stadium; appropriating an amount not
to exceed thirteen million five hundred
eighty-four thousand six hundred forty
seven dollars; creating a King County
Stadium Supplemental Construction Fund;
providing for the issuance of warrants
on that fund, and the manner of redemption
of warrants on that fund; authorizing,
approving, ratifying and confirming the
execution of an agreement between the
County and certain banks.

 Section 1. Findings. The County Council hereby finds the following facts:

a. At a special election held in King County, Washington, on February 13, 1968, the voters of King County approved a ballot proposition authorizing the issuance of general obligation bonds for the purpose of acquiring, constructing and equipping a multi-purpose stadium within the county; and

b. King County entered into a contract with the Donald M. Drake Company ("Drake") on September 13, 1972, for the complete construction of the King County Multi-purpose Stadium, and the completion date of the stadium fixed thereon was about December 14, 1974; and

- c. Drake stopped work on the stadium project on November 22, 1974; and
- d. As of November 22, 1974, Drake had certified in pay requests that the project was only approximately 70% completed; and
- e. The King County Executive directed Drake to resume work on the project by December 2, 1974, but Drake refused to do so; and
- f. The Donald M. Drake Company did not resume work on the project by twelve o'clock noon on December 2, 1974; and
- g. The King County Council unanimously passed by those who were in attendance Motion No. 1821 on December 2, 1974, declaring that an emergency existed with regard to the King County Multi-purpose Stadium and authorizing the King County Executive to take all necessary and appropriate action to maintain the safety of the project and to proceed to execute the completion of the construction in as expeditious a manner as possible, and said motion also declared that the abandonment of the project by Drake created a hazard to public health, safety and welfare and further that the public interest and property of the œunty would suffer material injury and/or damage by delay; and
- h. The King County Executive gave Drake notice that if it should not return to work by 8:00 a.m. on December 10, 1974, its contract for the project would be terminated; and

- i. Drake did not return to work as of 8:00 a.m. on December 10, 1974, and thereafter its contract was terminated by the King County Executive; and
- j. The King County Council passed Motion #1835 on December 10, 1974, which authorized and approved the entering into of a contract by King County with Peter Kiewit and Sons, Co. for the completion of the King County Multi-purpose Stadium, with substantial completion due on December 31, 1975 and full completion due by March 1, 1976; and
- k. King County filed a law suit on December 13, 1974, against Drake, The Travelers Indemnity Company and the Phoenix Insurance Company for damages resulting from Drake's refusal to complete its contractual obligations; and
- 1. Peter Kiewit and Sons, Co. has provided an estimate of the cost to complete the stadium pursuant to its contract, and said estimate together with other stadium construction costs indicates that an additional amount of monies not to exceed thirteen million five hundred eighty-four thousand six hundred forty-seven dollars are required beyond the amount available in the King County Stadium Development Fund; and
- m. The National Football League has awarded a professional football franchise to Seattle with play to commence in 1976 in the King County Multi-purpose Stadium; and

- n. The King County Multi-purpose Stadium must be completed and at the lowest possible cost, and the work must not be delayed, because such delay will increase the costs because of inflation, and result in a loss of revenue and benefit to King County; and
- o. The amount of money needed to complete the stadium should be financed at the least possible cost to the taxpayers; and
- p. Issuance of interest bearing warrants payable from the sources provided in this ordinance would cause completion of the stadium with the least impact on the taxpayers; and
- q. It is necessary to make immediate arrangements to obtain monies to fund such additional costs, which monies can be made available pursuant to an agreement between the County and certain banks, which is incorporated herein by Section 4 of this ordinance; and
- r. The Division of Architecture is a division of the Department of Community and Environmental Development, an executive department of King County Government, and is responsible for administering stadium construction contracts; and
- s. A Department of Stadium Administration has been established by Ordinance No. 1965 as an executive department of King County Government and is responsible for the management and administration of the King County Domed Stadium; and

	٠
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	

33

t. The appropriation made by this ordinance is necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health and safety, and for the support of county government and its existing public institutions; and

u. From such facts the King County Council hereby declares that a public emergency exists and that the expenditures provided for in this ordinance could not have been reasonably foreseen at the time of making the King County Budget for the 1975 calendar year which expenditure requires the expenditure of money not provided for in that budget.

Section 2. Appropriation. There is hereby approved and adopted an appropriation in addition to the 1975 Budget in the amount of thirteen million five hundred eighty-four thousand six hundred forty-seven dollars resulting from the issuance of warrants to be funded in the manner and expended solely for the purposes provided in this ordinance.

PROVIDED, That all said warrants shall be redeemed and paid by King County by not later than March 31, 1977.

Section 3. Creation of King County Supplemental

Construction Fund. There is created in the office of the County

Comptroller a special fund to be known as the "King County

Stadium Supplemental Construction Fund," from which fund there

shall be paid that portion of the costs, expenses and other claims

relating to the construction of the King County Multi-purpose

Stadium certified by the King County Division of Architecture

<del>-</del> 5 -

to be in excess of those costs, expenses and claims which on September 18, 1972, were anticipated by the County to be incurred in the construction of the Stadium and into which fund there shall be deposited sufficient monies from any net recovery by the County from the lawsuit now pending between the County as plaintiff and Drake, The Travelers Indemnity Company, and Phoenix Insurance Company, defendants, in the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington, at Seattle, and any other monies legally available therefor, to pay and redeem all those outstanding warrants hereafter drawn against the fund, and in the event such monies shall not be available on March 31, 1977 in sufficient amount to pay and redeem all such warrants, the County covenants and pledges to deposit in that fund on or before March 31, 1977, the principal proceeds of general obligation bonds which shall be issued within the limitations permitted by law in an amount up to but not to exceed \$13,584,647.00, plus accrued interest on the warrants up to the date of payment thereof, which bonds are hereby authorized, covenanted and pledged to be issued by the county in one or more series and in such amounts, bearing such interest, with such maturities, terms and conditions and in such form as shall be hereafter provided by ordinance. The obligation of the County to pay the warrants to be issued as authorized herein and pursuant to the Agreement incorporated in this ordinance is hereby declared to be a general obligation of the County.

Section 4. Authorizing Execution of Agreement.

The execution by the County Executive of the agreement between King County and Seattle First National Bank, Rainier National Bank, Peoples National Bank of Washington, Pacific National Bank of Washington, Seattle Trust & Savings Bank, Canadian Imperial

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

Bank of Commerce and Old National Bank of Washington, relating to the issuance, purchase, payment and redemption of warrants to be issued from the King County Stadium Supplemental Construction Fund created by Section 3 of this orcinance, a copy of which agreement is attached hereto except for Exhibit A and by this reference made a part hereof, is hereby authorized, approved, ratified and confirmed. PROVIDED, That notwithstanding the repayment date specified in said agreement, said loan shall be repaid and warrants redeemed by March 31, 1977. INTRODUCED AND READ for the first time this 3 and day PASSED this 17th day of KING COUNTY COUNCIL KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON ATTEST: APPROVED this 18th day of March 

## ROBERT EARL SMITH

Attorney at Law

3737 Bank of California Center Seatile, Washington 98164 (206) 623-8433

March 20, 1975

Seattle First National Bank P.O. Box 3586 Seattle, WA 98124

Attention: Manager, Investment Division

Hon. John Spellman King County Executive King County Court House Third & James Streets Seattle, WA 98104 Roberts, Shefelman, Lawrence, Gay & Moch Attorneys at Law 1818 IBM Building Seattle, WA 98101

Attention: James Gay

Hon. Christopher Bayley King County Prosecutor King County Court House Third & James Streets Seattle, WA 98104

Attention: Norman K. Maleng

## Gentlemen:

I represent Mr. Frank Ruano. At his request, I have reviewed King County Ordinance No. 75-91, passed and approved on March 17, 1975. It is my judgment that the referenced Ordinance constitutes an unconstitutional and otherwise unlawful pledging of County credit and is therefore invalid.

I would expect a court of competent jurisdiction to concur and accordingly hold the Ordinance, the Warrant Purchase Agreement, and the Warrants themselves null and void.

Your prompt reconsideration of this matter would appear appropriate.

Very truly yours,

ROBERT EARL SMITH

RES/1b

cc: King County Council Members

175 WAR 21 PM 2:34